Comments on:

Debt Policy, Budgetary and Financial Management Systems and Public Debt Accumulation in Africa by Anselm Komla Abotsi

Vera Pankova

Center for Macroeconomic Analysis and Short-Term Forecasting, Institute of Economic Forecasting (RAS)

> 1st Modern Finance Conference Warsaw, Poland

Summary

- 36 African countries
- <u>Effective</u> public debt policy and <u>high quality</u> of budgetary and financial management systems help to <u>reduce the accumulation of public debt</u> and ensure their long-term debt sustainability.
- More complex effect of <u>debt policy</u> coupled with the <u>institutional framework</u>: interaction of debt policy variables with the rule of law index

Comments & Questions: general

- <u>Cross-country</u> effective public debt policy -> somewhat confusing
- More references on empirical studies (including state-of-the-art papers):

Aizenman and Marion (2009), Dumitrescu (2014), Bittencourt (2015)

- Other typical public debt determinants: GDP growth, Purchasing Power Parity, Foreign Direct Investments?
- Public debt: domestic + external? Different effects?
- CPIA indexes standard deviations are significant -> high variation among countries/periods

Unit increase in the CPIA debt policy rating index reduce the general government gross debt by <u>at least 6% of GDP</u> and a unit increase in the CPIA quality of budgetary and financial management rating index – by <u>at least 11% of GDP</u> -> Too strong effect?

 "... the study is good for policy formulation in all African countries and <u>the world at large</u>"-> Test the same hypothesis for other countries (for example, OECD)

Comments & Questions: regressions

- Try to include lags to the regressions -> no simultaneous effect
- Control for outliers?
- Country and time FE? Crisis periods?
- Improvement of the rule of law leads to an increase in public debt -> Why? (Insignificant effect? Specificity of African countries?)
- Why in the 3rd specification CPIA index has changed its sign?